

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 4-9 are all the claims pending in the application. Claim 9 has been withdrawn. Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to delete the recitation of “-type.” No new matter has been added by these claim amendments.

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on August 6, 2009:

During the interview, the following was discussed: Examiner Chen and Applicants’ representatives discussed the outstanding objection to the specification as well as the patentability of present claims 1 and 4-8 in view of Amendment filed on July 15, 2009.

Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None.

Identification of claims discussed: All pending claims.

Identification of art discussed: None.

Identification of principal proposed amendments: None.

Brief Identification of principal arguments: i) The term “facial film-forming mask” is inherently disclosed in the original specification. ii) The written description rejection in the Office Action of April 15, 2009 is moot in view of the disclosures in the present specification as pointed out in Amendment filed on July 15, 2009. iii) The combination of Gennadios and Kitchencraftsmore does not teach or suggest “an oil-in-water emulsion-type composition in which the xanthan gum and the mannan are in the aqueous phase” as recited in claim 1.

Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None.

Results of Interview: The Examiner and Applicants' representatives agreed that i) the objection to the specification in Office Action of March 19, 2009 is moot, ii) the written description rejection in Office Action of March 19, 2009 is moot, and iii) the combination of Gennadios and Kitchencraftsmore does not teach or suggest "an oil-in-water emulsion-type composition in which the xanthan gum and the mannan are in the aqueous phase" as recited in claim 1. The Examiner will conduct further search.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,
/Sunhee Lee/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Sunhee Lee
Registration No. 53,892

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: August 12, 2009